

## Assignment 2, CSE 474/574

The number of points per question are in parentheses here (but not in the jupyter notebook).

Notes on grading:

- For 474, the points here add up to 90. Grading will be out of 100 percent (e.g. a 81/90 is a 90% grade)
- For 575, the points here add up to 100.
- We reserve the right to spot check any code to ensure that the answers provided are based on the code that you wrote in your assignment. Corect answers on the report that are not clearly based on analysis in the notebook are subject to receiving zero points, and depending on what we believe transpired, an AI violation. So, please show your work!

### Part 1.1 - Feature Engineering with Feature Subsets (10 points)

- (Nothing for the report, listing here to provide point value) Write out the result to a file called `part_1.1_results.csv` and submit this along with your assignment. (5 points)
- **1.1.1** Which model had the best RMSE on the *training data*? (1 point)
- **1.1.2** Which model had the best RMSE on the *test data*? (1 point)
- **1.1.3** Which feature do you believe was the most important one? Why? (*Note: There is more than one perfectly acceptable way to answer this question*) (2 points)
- **1.1.4** What can we say about the utility of the Spotify features based on these results? (1 point)

### Part 1.2 - Feature Engineering with the LASSO (15 points)

- **1.2.1** - How many new features are introduced by Step 2 above? Provide both the number and an explanation of how you got to this number. (2 points)
- **1.2.2** - What was the best **alpha** value according to your cross-validation results? (5 points)
- **1.2.3** - What was the **average RMSE** of the model with this **alpha** value on the k-fold cross validation on the *training data*? (3 points)

- **1.2.4** - What was the **RMSE** of the model with this **alpha** value on the k-fold cross validation on the *test* data? (5 points)

## Part 1.3 - Interpreting Model Coefficients (15 points)

In this section we will interpret the coefficients from the final model you trained on all of the training data.

- **1.3.1** - How many non-zero coefficients are in this final model? (5 points)
- **1.3.2** - What percentage of the coefficients are non-zero in this final model? (1 point)
- **1.3.3** - Who were the three most critical review authors, as estimated by the model? How do you know? (3 points)
- **1.3.4** - Who were the three artists that reviewers tended to like the most? How do you know? (3 points)
- **1.3.5** - What genre did Pitchfork reviewers tend to like the most? Which genre did they like the least? (3 points)

## Part 1.4 - “Manual” Cross-Validation + Holdout for Model Selection and Evaluation (25 points)

Write out the result to a file called `part_1.4_results.csv` and submit this along with your assignment. (10 points) (You do not need to submit anything for your report for this part.)

- **1.4.1** Report, for each model, the hyper parameter setting that resulted in the best performance (3 points)
- **1.4.2** Which model performed the best overall on the cross-validation? (3 points)
- **1.4.3** Which model performed the best overall on the final test set? (3 points)
- **1.4.4** With respect to your answer for 1.4.3, why do you think that might be? (*Note: there is more than one correct way to answer this question*) (1 point)
- **1.4.5** Which model/hyperparameter setting had the highest standard deviation across the different folds of the cross validation? (3 points)
- **1.4.6** With respect to your answer for 1.4.6, why do you think that might be? (*Note: there is more than one correct way to answer this question*) (2 points)

## Part 2.1 - Logistic Regression with Gradient Descent (25 points)

We will test each of the three functions you implemented; `logistic_objective`, `logistic_gradient`, and `run_gradient_descent`. Correct results for these will receive 5 points, 5 points, and 10 points, respectively. Partial credit will not be awarded at the time of grading (but the tests we run will be very similar to the one in the project, and it is very hard to get correct results without implementing these correctly!), but Kenny reserves the right to change this to allow for partial credit after initial grading. You do not need to submit anything for your report for this part.

- **2.1.1** - How did you go about selecting a good step size, i.e. one that was not too big or too small? (*Note: There is more than one correct answer to this*) (2 points)
- **2.1.2** - What is the condition under which we assume that the gradient descent algorithm has converged in the code here? (2 points)
- **2.1.3** - What is a different convergence metric we could have used? (*Note: There is more than one correct answer to this*) (1 points)

## Part 2.2 - 574 Only - Logistic Regression with Newton-Raphson (10 points)

For Part 2.2, correct results for both parts will be worth 5 points each.